



Forage Analysis Report

GREGORY MOCK 157 ETT BROWN ROAD DEER LODGE, TN 37726 County: Morgan Email: GDM1951@GMAIL.COM Sample ID: 1 DC tim/orch Lab Number: 116990

Reported: 10/3/2025

Type: Hay

Forage Species (Identified by Client): Mixed Grasses

Near-Infared Spectroscopy Analysis (NIRS)

	ivear-ii	тагеа эр	ccii
Water Content		as receiv	red
DM	Dry Matter	89	%
Moisture	Moisture	11	%
Protein		100% DM	basis
СР	Crude Protein	8.33	%
ADICP	Acid Detergent Insoluble CP	0.83	%
NDICP	Neutral Detergent Insoluble CP	2.18	%
InsolCP	Insoluble Crude Protein	5.00	%
Lysine	Lysine	0.29	%
Fiber		100% DM	basis
ADF	Acid Detergent Fiber	39.50	%
NDF	Neutral Detergent Fiber	66.41	%
Lignin	Lignin	6.81	%
Carbohydrates		100% DM	basis
ESC	Sugar	7.81	%
Fructan	Fructan	1.97	%
Starch	Starch	2.54	%
WSC	Water Soluble Carbohydrates	11.12	%
NSC	Non-Structural Carbohydrates	13.66	%
NFC	Non-Fiber Carbohydrates	19.41	%
Digestibility		100% DM	basis
IVTDMD48h	in-vitro True DM Digestibility 48h	67.58	%
NDFD48h	Neutral Detergent Fiber Digestibility 48h	48.00	%

¹ All nutritive analyses at 100% Dry Matter (DM) basis unless otherwise noted. Not all constituents are available for each forage type submitted to the Soil, Plant and Pest Center. Forage analysis calibrations provided by the NIRS Forage and Feed Consortium.

copy Analysis (NIRS) ¹					
Fat		100% DM basis			
Fat	Fat	2.21 %			
Minerals		100% DM basis			
Ash	Ash	3.64 %			
Ca	Calcium	0.38 %			
Р	Phosphorus	0.16 %			
Mg	Magnesium	0.13 %			
K	Potassium	1.14 %			
Energy Calculations		100% DM basis			
TDN	Total Digestible Nutrients	57.23 %			
DE	Digestible Energy	1.63 MCal/kg			
NE _m	Net Energy Maintenance	0.55 MCal/lb			
NEg	Net Energy Gain	0.30 MCal/lb			
NEI	Net Energy Lacatation	0.57 MCal/lb			
Components		Wet Chemistry			
рН	Ensiled	рН			
NO ₃	Nitrates	ppm²			
Calculated Parameters ³		Scale			
RFQ	Relative Forage Quality	84			
RFV	Relative Feed Value	0			

 $^{^{2}}$ ppm = mg/kg

³ Relative Forage Quality (RFQ) is reported for all grass, mixed, legume hays and haylages; and, Relative Feed Value (RFV) is reported for Alfalfa only. No nutritive value scale is available for corn silage

Forage Analysis Report

GREGORY MOCK 157 ETT BROWN ROAD DEER LODGE, TN 37726

County: Morgan

Email: GDM1951@GMAIL.COM

Sample ID: 1 DC tim/orch

Lab Number: 116990 Reported: 10/3/2025

Type: Hay

Forage Species (Identified by Client): Mixed Grasses

Understanding Hay Quality

The graphs below are presented to provide a general guide to evaluate the Crude Protein (CP) and Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN) levels of the forage submitted for testing. If you need help understanding the results or information on developing a balanced ration for a specific animal(s), please contact your local UT Extension agent or visit <u>utbeef.com</u>.

Crude Protein (CP)

Your Sample - 8.33%

Low Medium Good Excellent

Low = <8% | Medium = 8% to 10.9% | Good = 11% to 13.9% | Excellent = ≥14%

Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN)

Your Sample - 57.23%

Low Medium Good Excellent

Low = <50% | Medium = 50% to 55% | Good = 55.1% to 59.9% | Excellent = ≥60%

Wet Chemistry				
Minerals		as received		
Ca	Calcium	%		
Р	Phosphorus	%		
Mg	Magnesium	%		
K	Potassium	%		
S	Sulfur	%		
Cu	Copper	ppm ¹		
Zn	Zinc	ppm		
Mn	Manganese	ppm		
Fe	Iron	ppm		
В	Boron	ppm		

Payment Details

Receipt:

Amount: \$17.00

Method: 105

Payment Date: 9/29/2025

Programs in agriculture and natural resources, 4-H youth development, family and consumer sciences, and resource development. University of Tennessee Institute of Agriculture, U.S.

Department of Agriculture and county governments cooperating. UT Extension provides equal opportunities in programs and employment.

 $^{^{1}}$ ppm = mg/kg